

Your Right to Know
Is the Key to All Your Liberties

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1960

Why Nixon and Lodge

One week from this Tuesday Americans will make a great decision, a decision that will influence the course of this nation and the world during the rest of his- tory.

They will choose either Nixon and Lodge or Kennedy and Johnson. But more, they will make a choice between tested experience—clearly, in our opinion, possessed by Vice President Richard Nixon and Ambassador Henry Cabot Lodge—and two candidates yet to prove themselves in the boiling cauldron of a very dangerous world.

They will also choose between a system that made America great and an expanded welfare state of the variety that has wrecked nations and empires when carried to an ultimate.

In the welter of words intended to sway voters, a lot of things have been either overlooked or have not been driven home. The Republican campaign has been side-tracked by defense of the nebulous question of American "prestige."

The fact that 600 million people went behind the Iron Curtain during 20 years of Democratic administration largely has been forgotten. Certainly, the average man in the street hasn't been thinking much about this calamity—an historical fact.

The same powers within the Democratic party, who joined the bitter tea parties that gave China to the Reds still are influential and will continue to be influential in determining a foreign policy that could well have us becoming the "second rate nation" they already think we are.

We can see a very sharp difference in the quality and experience of the candidates and honestly believe the difference is in favor of Nixon and Lodge. In their favor also, is the fact that the pseudo liberals and intellectuals the pinkos and Communist dupes, the second-guessers, and self-seeking minority groups all are numbered among their opposition. This fact in itself ought to prompt Democrats and Republicans, who can correctly fear this dangerous and irresponsible influence, to vote for Nixon and Lodge on Tuesday, Nov. 8.

Varying Views
On War, Peace

An interesting aspect of the present political campaign is the fact that while Democrats exhibit a natural disdain for associating their party's leadership with war, they are not modest about claiming special qualifications for keeping the peace and maintaining our prestige abroad.

With the benefit of the second guess, they are able to tell us what might have been done to prevent what they interpret as a loss of face in various sectors of the globe. In their view, even difficulties which have been decades in the making are the fault of Republicans during the last seven and one-half years.

It is difficult for them to understand why politicians in some foreign nations decide to cotton up to the Communists, who achieved tremendous power and influ-

ence, plus domination over captive nations, during and immediately after World War II.

The implication is that they have a magic formula for preventing even the least developed nations from believing what the Communists tell them. From time to time, of course, the formula hasn't worked so well with some of their own party.

It would be less than sporting to suggest that Republicans could have kept us out of the three wars in which we became involved under Democratic administrations, although there is some doubt about the Korean debacle. At the same time, the Democrats' demonstrable enthusiasm for the second guess suggests that they should be allowed to keep it.

—Chicago Heights Star

CROSSWORD PUZZLE

- ACROSS
1-Defeat
6-Place for worship
11-Delaware
12-Lag behind
14-Latin conjunction
15-Model
17-Symbol for tellurium
18-Unit of Siamese currency
20-At that place
21-Word
22-Withered
24-Dine
25-Separate
26-Paralytic
28-Refuge
29-Arabian garment
31-In music, high
32-Military students
33-Frolic
34-Chinese
35-Parade
41-Harvest
42-Exile
43-Fortify
45-Inlet
46-Pronoun
47-Reserved inside of
49-Roman Catholic (abbr.)
50-Large gully
51-One who shirks his duty
54-Clash
55-Supercilious persons
DOWN
1-More moist
2-Proposition
3-Knock
4-Quarrel
5-Rope for fastening animal

Grid for crossword puzzle with clues and answers.



Shades of Mercantilism
Seen in 'New Frontier'

By JAMES DORAIS

Vance Packard, whose book, "The Hidden Persuaders," was a best seller a few years ago, has come up with another one, "The Waste Makers."

Mr. Packard's theme is that most of the things people buy today are wasteful, that advertising and modern packaging are sinful. He thinks it wrong for people to buy green or pink cars; only black automobiles satisfy Mr. Packard's Puritan instincts.

He is against automatic dishwashers because they "tend to disenfranchise the wife by depriving her of many traditional, time-consuming homemaking functions."

Other such pleasurable functions denied modern housewives by venal manufacturers and advertisers would include baking bread, stoking wood in the cook-stove, heating water for family baths, growing vegetables in the backyard and putting up the surplus in Mason jars, and making homespun garments for father and the kiddies.

As ridiculous as Mr. Packard's arguments are when carried to their logical conclusion, they are only extreme versions of the main idea of "The New Frontier," the official dogma of Democratic Party braintrusters today.

That idea is that people are spending too much of their own money on things they themselves want, and that the country should be committed to a rigid, forced, annual growth rate based on increased expenditures by Government.

Some of the more honest

New Frontiersmen — notably the columnist Walter Lippmann — face up to the fact that these policies would result in ruinous inflation unless the Government adopts a rigid system of wage and price controls.

Actually, as the economist William H. Peterson has pointed out, the New Frontier isn't new at all; it goes right back to the kind of economy the countries of Europe had in the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries. Then it was known as mercantilism, and governments decided who should be allowed to work, what materials should be employed, what processes fol-

lowed.

Machines were broken and products were burned, when they did not conform to the rules. France, for example, on March 30, 1700, issued a decree limiting to 18 towns the number of places where stockings could be woven.

Like Vance Packard, the Mercantilists were Puritans. In 1700, a bill introduced in the British Parliament to curb the use of cosmetics, read:

"That all women of whatsoever rank and profession, or degree, whether virgins, old maids, or widows, who shall impose upon, seduce, and betray into matrimony any of His Majesty's subjects by scents, paints, cosmetics, washes, artificial teeth, false hair, iron stays, bolstered hips, or high-heeled shoes, shall incur the penalty withcraft. The marriage resulting from these usages shall be null and void."

One thing seems certain: neither mercantilism nor its modern counterpart, the New Frontier, were designed for a woman's world!

"What the man who has everything needs is help with the payments." — Maurice Selitter.

"The phrase 'temporary tax' has replaced Methuselah as a symbol of longevity." — Robert N. Taylor.

"In an election year, the politicians can't seem to leave welfare enough alone." — Changing Times.

"Both sugar and vinegar are preservatives, so it seems to boil down to whether you want to be pickled or in a jam." — H. E. Martz.

and I Quote

"It is impossible to be a good American without first being a good man. And being a good man is a difficult, continual and uphill struggle." — Sydney J. Harris.

"Give a pig and a boy everything they want. You'll have a good pig and a bad boy." — Bill McKenna.

"The trouble with many hands that rock the cradle today is they're hired hands." — O. A. Battista.

"The phrase 'temporary tax' has replaced Methuselah as a symbol of longevity." — Robert N. Taylor.

"In an election year, the politicians can't seem to leave welfare enough alone." — Changing Times.

"Both sugar and vinegar are preservatives, so it seems to boil down to whether you want to be pickled or in a jam." — H. E. Martz.

Some of the more honest

Some of the more honest

From the Mailbox
By Our Readers

Editor, Torrance Herald

I'll bet your "Mailbox" column is going to be flooded for a few weeks to come. I'm referring, of course, to the letter from Mr. Phillip Keller, who, incidentally, is a friend of mine. I've always admired Mr. Keller's ability to "speak his piece." I also know that he usually looks for the facts before speaking. Now however, it is my turn.

I also am a taxpayer and a very proud resident of Torrance. I don't always agree on some of the things the City Council does, and they surely can't always agree with me. I suppose I get more for my school tax dollar than most, but I certainly haven't lost sight of the spiraling taxes. But then again, I still contend that the end must justify the means.

How many of us have "hated school" in our childhood. As any adult will tell you, "Boy they taught us more when I was a kid." Did we actually learn more or learn quicker? Or do we like to think we did? If we learned more, then the Adult Education Program is a waste of time. Were our teachers superior? They were virtually slaves to a mid-victorian demand of the public, and among the very lowest income bracket. That in itself created the original teacher shortage.

So what did we do? We made Education more inviting to our young people. Then of necessity we had to bring the income at least to the livable level for a family. Gradually the nation as a whole is waking up to the necessity of having Better Schools and Better Wages for teachers.

Fortunately, California is among the top educational systems in the nation. Locally, Torrance is among the best school districts. We didn't get that way by being slow to get the best teachers possible, and you still have to pay for the best. If there were 4450 applications for teaching positions, and 1500 were interviewed, then the school board must have found what they

needed in the 1500. Therefore almost 19 per cent of the interviewed teachers were hired.

Now then, where did these teachers come from? They didn't all come from California. That begins to narrow it down. UCLA, Long Beach State Teachers College and SC can't turn out experience with a diploma. Should we have just newly graduated teachers? They may be cheaper, but they sure haven't the experience necessary to make a student-teacher relationship the best. They can't, nor should they be expected to.

Psychology in the books and applied through the years is still worlds apart. Of course, maybe we should consider that experience is unnecessary in "show places."

Mr. Keller should hear the conversation of a group of graduates going into the teaching profession discuss the salaries paid in the various towns. Torrance isn't even sixth in line. Three cities that pay more by far are Downey, Long Beach, and Bellflower.

As for industry endorsing a tax on themselves, the record speaks for itself. Many times industry and business finds itself at odds with a tax issue. However, they know they must live in harmony with the community at large. Industrialists have found that public relations departments are well worth the expense in maintaining the public good will. Do you think that industry would actually fight a school bond in an area with the school age population of Torrance? Better still, how many industries would risk the wrath of the well organized PTA, Kiwanis, Lions, Elks, Moose, Masons and many, many youth organizations by fighting against the very thing all of them work so hard for... Better Citizens for Tomorrow with Better Education Today.

I'd like to ask Mr. Keller

if he would like the School District to go in the land speculation business? Do they decide in which area the homes are going to sprout and have the land ready to build schools? Thirteen years ago, El Camino College was a group of drafty, eyesore called barracks. Maybe that's what we should put our children in.

Thirteen years ago, there was no need for Lincoln, Cassimir, Arlington, Evelyn Carr, Hamilton schools either. These "show places" of education, are keeping up with progress. They are safer to be in for one thing. Another, they are conducive to learning and building better educated citizens of tomorrow.

That word "conducive" is a very important one. Again industry has found through research that better working conditions make for better production and happier employees with less turnover to the company.

In a conversation with a teacher some time ago, I remember only too vividly her description of the schools in another district she had taught in. That was her sole excuse for leaving the school that was within walking distance of her home. She was lucky, she could quit.

I wonder what the attitude toward learning her students had? As Mr. Keller says, "It's what comes out of the schools that counts, not how many acres or trees, or grass, or whatever else the Board of Education wants to put into them." But here again, you only get out of something what you put into it.

For the record, we too are earning less this year. We've only been homeowners for 8 1/2 years, but residents for most of 12 years. I too am sick of spiraling taxes, but there are many areas of waste that need exposure. Why not try garbage collection instead of education?

MRS. DORIS HALL
16204 Haas Ave.

SHORT TAKES

Editor A. H. Sanders, The Manning, Iowa, Monitor—Best news to hit Manning in some years was the announcement last week that a new industry had decided to locate here. It's an opportunity that does not come often to a town this size... Throughout the rural areas of the midwest, towns are slowly losing their stores and citizens in favor of metropolitan areas where employment can be found. With fewer farms reported every census, towns like Manning feel the effect. Now we have the chance to do something about the dwindling populace and business volume.

Editor-Columnist John W.

Marben, Lake Crystal, Minn., Tribune — The farm issue promises to be a big one in the election debate. Both sides seem to be in agreement as to what needs to be done, and in complete disagreement as to which side didn't do it.

Columnist Glenn P. McHenry, The Blanchester, O., Star Republican—A new twist to an old line. In Rio de Janeiro, union chorus girls demanding higher wage scales from theatre owners got nowhere until they threatened to appear on the stage fully clothed. Within six hours, a new contract was signed and wages increased.

Editor James A. Williams, Jr., Wytheville, Va., Southwest Virginia Enterprise — More teachers are needed to help build respect for and belief in the superiority of private property rights, personal liberty and individual opportunity instead of more advocates of political control of the individual and "cradle to the grave" security, which means lost freedom. You can't swap freedom for security without losing both.

Editor William B. Weist, Shamokin, Pa., Citizen—With the advent of the months when fine young men will be chasing an inflated bladder around carefully gardened football fields, it may be appropriate to suggest a local contest of mental skills and talents along lines of the "College Bowl" TV show... Most of us are enthusiastic about athletics but not enough of us seem to be concerned with the development of civilization. Since civilization depends upon the highest development of men and women via education, mental and moral agility will count more in the future than all the demonstrated coordination of the too-quickly-forgotten touchdown runs of the 1960 season.

Law in Action
Law and Equity

Our courts work with two sets of principles in meting out justice — those of "law" and those of "equity."

1. Under "law" a court declares the parties' rights and duties and sets money damages for harm already done—as when someone goes back on his contract with you, or injures you in an automobile crash.

2. But under principles of "honesty, equity, and conscience," for example, a court, seeing harm on the way, may forestall it and enforce its orders by fines or jail terms. For example, California farmers often used to enjoin upstream land owners from unlawfully diverting or polluting waters.

England used to have two kinds of courts to hear cases under law and equity. But today both England and America use the same judge to hear both kinds of cases. How did these two systems arise?

Long ago the English king called upon the "chancery"—then largely made up of churchmen, (the keepers "of the King's conscience"), to

give "relief" where the older Common Law courts, grown rigid, might fail.

Unable to use the Common Law, the chancellors applied certain rules and maxims, sometimes borrowed from ancient Greece and Rome—"He who comes into equity must come with clean hands."

Sometimes this is stated: "No one can take advantage in a court of equity of his own wrongdoing."

This maxim denies a suit for "relief" if he himself has done wrong in the transaction at issue.

A scale maker had advertised that his scales would count fractions of a cent against the customer and in favor of the storekeeper. He had asked a court in equity to stop a competitor from revealing that his scale was cheating customers. But the court threw the case out: Not having "clean hands," the scale maker had no standing in equity. He could not seek fair treatment when he himself was dishonest in particular situation at issue.

Note: California lawyers offer this column so you may know about our laws.



STAR GAZER - Your Daily Activity Guide. Includes zodiac signs, horoscopes, and daily forecasts for various signs.